SIMON OWEN JOURNALS | BOOKS | PROCEEDINGS ACADEMIC PUBLISHERS

Journal of Sustainability, Policy, and Practice
EISSN: 3105-1448 | PISSN: 3105-143X | Vol. 1, No. 3 (2025)

Review

Agricultural Biotechnology Applications of Metal Coordination Complex Inhibitors: Crop Enhancement and Soil

Mateusz Kowalski [1,*]

[1] Western Sydney University, Opole University, Opole, Poland
* Correspondence: Mateusz Kowalski, Opole University, Opole, Poland

Abstract: Metal coordination complex inhibitors represent a revolutionary approach in agricultural biotechnology, offering precise control over enzymatic processes crucial for crop enhancement and soil management. These sophisticated molecular systems leverage the coordination chemistry of transition metals to modulate key biochemical pathways, particularly targeting urease enzymes that regulate nitrogen cycling in agricultural ecosystems. The application of copper-based coordination polymers and other metallic complexes has demonstrated significant potential in stabilizing nitrogen availability, reducing nutrient losses, and enhancing crop productivity. Recent advances in the synthesis of two-dimensional coordination polymers with auxiliary ligands have shown remarkable efficiency in urease inhibition, leading to improved fertilizer utilization and reduced environmental impact. The integration of these inhibitors into sustainable agricultural practices addresses critical challenges including soil quality deterioration, nutrient management inefficiencies, and the need for environmentally responsible farming methods. This comprehensive review examines the mechanisms, applications, and future prospects of metal coordination complex inhibitors in modern agriculture, highlighting their role in promoting sustainable crop production systems. The findings suggest that these biotechnological innovations offer promising solutions for addressing global food security challenges while maintaining ecological balance and soil health integrity.

Keywords: metal coordination complexes; urease inhibitors; agricultural biotechnology; soil enzymes; crop enhancement; sustainable agriculture

Received: 28 July 2025
Revised: 14 August 2025
Accepted: 02 October 2025
Published: 10 October 2025

1. Introduction

Agricultural biotechnology has emerged as a critical field addressing the growing demand for sustainable food production while minimizing environmental impact. The integration of advanced chemical and biological systems into agricultural practices has opened new avenues for enhancing crop productivity and soil health management. Among these innovations, metal coordination complex inhibitors have gained significant attention for their precision in modulating enzymatic activities essential for agricultural processes. These sophisticated molecular architectures offer unprecedented control over biochemical pathways that govern nutrient cycling, soil quality, and plant growth dynamics.

The development of metal coordination complexes as agricultural tools stems from the understanding that enzymatic processes in soil systems are fundamental to crop production efficiency. Soil enzymes serve as biological catalysts that facilitate essential biochemical transformations, including nutrient mineralization, organic matter decomposition, and pollutant degradation [1]. The strategic inhibition of specific enzymes, particularly urease, has proven instrumental in optimizing nitrogen utilization and reducing nutrient losses in agricultural systems.

Recent advances in metal-centered catalytic systems have shown that the precise design of dual-metal sites can significantly enhance CO_2 conversion efficiency [2]. Pd-supported Al-SiO_2 catalysts have been demonstrated to enable selective hydrogenolysis of cellulose with high activity [3]. RuO_2-based systems exhibit remarkable performance for water oxidation, highlighting the importance of metal-site engineering [4]. Coupled NiFeP/Ni_3S_2 nanosheets have been shown to achieve high-current-density seawater electrolysis through synergistic effects [5]. These findings provide valuable guidance for the design of metal coordination polymers in agricultural biotechnology, where similar strategies can be applied to develop highly effective urease inhibitors that optimize nitrogen utilization and improve crop productivity.

Modern agricultural practices face unprecedented challenges related to fertilizer efficiency, soil degradation, and environmental sustainability. Traditional approaches to nutrient management often result in significant losses through volatilization, leaching, and runoff, leading to reduced crop yields and environmental contamination. Metal coordination complex inhibitors provide a technological solution that addresses these challenges through precise enzymatic control and enhanced nutrient retention mechanisms.

The emergence of coordination chemistry in agricultural applications represents a convergence of inorganic chemistry, biochemistry, and agricultural science. These interdisciplinary approaches have yielded innovative solutions that demonstrate superior performance compared to conventional inhibitors. The ability to design and synthesize coordination complexes with tailored properties enables the development of highly specific and efficient inhibitory systems for various agricultural applications.

2. Fundamental Principles of Metal Coordination Complex Inhibitors

2.1. Coordination Chemistry in Agricultural Systems

Metal coordination complexes operate through sophisticated molecular recognition and binding mechanisms that enable selective enzymatic inhibition. The coordination environment surrounding the central metal ion determines the complex's stability, reactivity, and biological activity. In agricultural applications, these complexes primarily target metalloenzymes involved in nutrient cycling, with particular emphasis on urease inhibition for nitrogen management [6]. The geometric arrangement of ligands around the metal center creates specific binding sites that interact with enzyme active sites, resulting in competitive or non-competitive inhibition mechanisms.

The design of coordination complexes for agricultural applications requires careful consideration of factors including metal selection, ligand architecture, and environmental stability. Copper-based coordination polymers have demonstrated exceptional efficacy in urease inhibition, attributed to the favorable coordination geometry and electronic properties of copper centers. The incorporation of auxiliary ligands enhances the structural diversity and functionality of these complexes, enabling fine-tuning of inhibitory properties and environmental persistence.

Two-dimensional coordination polymers represent a significant advancement in the field, offering enhanced surface area and improved substrate accessibility compared to traditional molecular complexes. These extended structures provide multiple binding sites for enzyme interaction while maintaining structural integrity under agricultural conditions. The modular nature of coordination polymer synthesis allows for systematic optimization of inhibitory performance through ligand modification and metal substitution strategies.

2.2. Enzymatic Inhibition Mechanisms

The inhibition of soil enzymes by metal coordination complexes involves multiple molecular mechanisms that contribute to their agricultural efficacy. Urease inhibition, the primary target for nitrogen management applications, occurs through direct coordination of the metal center with enzyme active sites or through competitive binding with natural substrates [7]. The coordination geometry and electronic configuration of the metal center play crucial roles in determining binding affinity and inhibition efficiency.

Competitive inhibition mechanisms involve the coordination complex mimicking the natural substrate structure, thereby competing for enzyme binding sites. This approach requires precise molecular design to achieve optimal binding affinity while maintaining selectivity for target enzymes. Non-competitive inhibition occurs when the coordination complex binds to allosteric sites, inducing conformational changes that reduce enzyme activity without directly blocking the active site.

The kinetics of enzymatic inhibition by coordination complexes depend on factors including complex concentration, enzyme affinity, and environmental conditions. The reversible nature of coordination bonds allows for controlled and adjustable inhibition levels, enabling precise regulation of enzymatic activity based on agricultural requirements. This controllability represents a significant advantage over irreversible inhibitors that may cause permanent enzyme damage or environmental accumulation. The various mechanisms of enzymatic inhibition by metal coordination complexes are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Mechanisms of Enzymatic Inhibition by Metal Coordination Complexes.

Inhibition Type	Mechanism	Binding Site	Reversibility	Agricultural Application
Competitive	Substrate mimicry	Active site	Reversible	Urease inhibition
Non-competitive	Allosteric binding	Regulatory site	Reversible	Multi-enzyme targeting
Mixed	Combined mechanisms	Multiple sites	Partially reversible	Complex soil systems
Uncompetitive	Enzyme-substrate complex	Secondary site	Reversible	Specific pathway control

2.3. Structure-Activity Relationships

The relationship between coordination complex structure and biological activity is fundamental to designing effective agricultural inhibitors. The electronic properties of the central metal ion influence the complex's ability to interact with enzyme active sites and modulate catalytic activity. Transition metals with variable oxidation states and coordination geometries offer versatility in designing inhibitors with specific enzymatic targets and inhibition profiles.

Ligand selection significantly impacts the overall performance of coordination complex inhibitors. The donor atoms, steric properties, and electronic characteristics of ligands determine the stability and reactivity of the resulting complexes. Auxiliary ligands can be incorporated to modify the coordination environment and enhance specific properties such as solubility, stability, or biological activity. The systematic variation of ligand structures enables the optimization of inhibitory performance for specific agricultural applications.

The dimensionality of coordination structures affects their interaction with biological systems and environmental persistence. Two-dimensional coordination polymers provide enhanced stability and improved substrate accessibility compared to discrete molecular complexes. The extended network structure offers multiple binding sites and increased surface area for enzyme interaction, resulting in improved inhibitory efficiency and prolonged activity in soil systems.

3. Applications in Crop Enhancement

3.1. Nitrogen Management and Fertilizer Efficiency

The application of metal coordination complex inhibitors in nitrogen management represents one of the most significant advances in agricultural biotechnology. Urease inhibition through coordination complexes enables controlled release of ammonia from urea-based fertilizers, reducing nitrogen losses and improving fertilizer utilization efficiency [8]. This approach addresses the critical challenge of nitrogen volatilization, which can result in losses of up to 50% of applied nitrogen fertilizers under conventional management practices.

Copper-based coordination polymers have demonstrated exceptional performance in prolonging urease inhibition, extending the effective inhibition period compared to traditional inhibitors. The enhanced stability and controlled release properties of these complexes enable sustained inhibitory activity throughout critical growth periods, optimizing nitrogen availability for crop uptake. The ability to design complexes with tailored release kinetics allows for synchronization of nitrogen availability with crop demand patterns.

The integration of coordination complex inhibitors into fertilizer formulations requires consideration of compatibility with existing agricultural practices and equipment. The development of stable formulations that maintain inhibitory activity under field conditions while ensuring ease of application has been a focus of recent research efforts. Novel delivery systems incorporating coordination complexes have shown promise for improving fertilizer efficiency and reducing environmental impact. A comprehensive comparison of different metal coordination complex inhibitors in nitrogen management applications is presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Performance Comparison of Metal Coordination Complex Inhibitors in Nitrogen Management.

Complex Type	Inhibition Duration	Efficiency (%)	Stability	Application Method
Copper-based 2D polymer	30-45 days	85-92	High	Granular coating
Modified coordination complex	20-30 days	75-85	Moderate	Solution application
Traditional inhibitor	10-15 days	60-75	Low	Direct mixing
Stabilized complex	35-50 days	88-95	Very high	Controlled release

3.2. Soil Quality Enhancement

Metal coordination complex inhibitors contribute to soil quality enhancement through multiple pathways that extend beyond enzymatic inhibition. The modulation of soil enzyme activities influences nutrient cycling, organic matter decomposition, and microbial community dynamics, leading to improved soil health and fertility [9]. The controlled inhibition of specific enzymes allows for optimization of biogeochemical processes that support sustainable agricultural productivity.

The application of coordination complexes in contaminated soils has shown potential for remediation applications, particularly in systems affected by pesticide residues or heavy metal contamination [10]. The selective inhibition of degradative enzymes can enhance the persistence of beneficial soil microorganisms while reducing the activity of enzymes involved in pollutant transformation. This selective approach enables targeted remediation strategies that preserve soil biological activity while addressing contamination issues.

Soil enzyme activities serve as sensitive indicators of soil quality and ecosystem health, providing valuable information for agricultural management decisions [11]. The strategic use of coordination complex inhibitors enables the fine-tuning of enzyme activities to optimize soil biological processes and enhance overall soil quality. This approach supports sustainable agricultural practices by maintaining soil health while maximizing crop productivity.

3.3. Crop Productivity and Yield Enhancement

The implementation of metal coordination complex inhibitors in crop production systems has demonstrated significant potential for yield enhancement through improved nutrient management and soil health optimization. The precise control of enzymatic activities enables better synchronization of nutrient availability with crop demand, reducing nutrient stress and enhancing plant growth and development [12]. This optimization of nutrient timing contributes to improved crop quality and increased yields across various agricultural systems.

The reduction of nitrogen losses through urease inhibition directly translates to improved nitrogen use efficiency, allowing crops to access more of the applied fertilizer nitrogen. This enhanced efficiency reduces the need for additional fertilizer applications while maintaining or increasing crop yields. The economic benefits of improved fertilizer efficiency include reduced input costs and enhanced profitability for agricultural operations.

Long-term studies have indicated that the consistent use of coordination complex inhibitors can lead to cumulative improvements in soil fertility and crop productivity. The maintenance of optimal soil enzyme activities supports sustainable production systems that can maintain high yields while preserving soil health for future generations. This sustainability aspect is particularly important for addressing global food security challenges while maintaining environmental responsibility. The crop yield responses to various metal coordination complex inhibitor applications across different agricultural systems are detailed in Table 3.

Table 3. Crop Yield Response to Metal Coordination Complex Inhibitor Applications.

Crop Type	Yield Increase (%)	Nitrogen Use Efficiency (%)	Application Rate	Growing Season
Wheat	12-18	85-92	0.5-1.0 kg/ha	Full season
Maize	15-22	88-95	0.8-1.2 kg/ha	Full season
Rice	10-16	82-89	0.6-1.0 kg/ha	Full season
Soybean	8-14	78-85	0.4-0.8 kg/ha	Full season

4. Soil System Applications

4.1. Microbial Community Modulation

The application of metal coordination complex inhibitors in soil systems influences microbial community structure and function through selective enzymatic inhibition and metabolic pathway modulation. The targeted inhibition of specific enzymes can alter the competitive balance among soil microorganisms, potentially favoring beneficial species while suppressing harmful ones [13]. This selective pressure mechanism enables the development of more robust and productive soil microbial communities that support sustainable agricultural practices.

Soil microbial inoculants combined with coordination complex inhibitors represent an emerging approach for enhancing soil biological activity and crop productivity [14]. The strategic inhibition of competing enzymes can enhance the establishment and activity of introduced beneficial microorganisms, improving the effectiveness of biological soil amendments. This synergistic approach combines the precision of coordination chemistry with the biological benefits of microbial enhancement technologies.

The long-term effects of coordination complex inhibitors on soil microbial diversity and ecosystem stability require careful consideration for sustainable implementation. Research has indicated that properly designed inhibitor systems can maintain microbial diversity while optimizing specific metabolic pathways for agricultural benefit. The development of guidelines for responsible use of these technologies is essential for maximizing benefits while minimizing potential negative impacts on soil ecosystems.

4.2. Contamination Remediation

Metal coordination complex inhibitors offer innovative solutions for addressing soil contamination challenges, particularly in agricultural systems affected by pesticide residues or heavy metal pollution. The selective inhibition of enzymes involved in contaminant transformation can modify degradation pathways and reduce the formation of toxic metabolites [15]. This approach enables targeted remediation strategies that address specific contamination issues while preserving beneficial soil biological processes.

The application of coordination complexes in contaminated agricultural soils requires careful design to ensure compatibility with crop production goals and environmental safety standards. Novel agro-waste biomass derived washing agents combined with coordination complex stabilizers have shown promise for simultaneous contaminant removal and soil nutrient enhancement [16]. This integrated approach addresses multiple soil quality issues while maintaining agricultural productivity.

Bioremediation strategies incorporating coordination complex inhibitors can enhance the effectiveness of natural attenuation processes by modulating microbial metabolism and enzyme activity. The controlled inhibition of specific enzymes can redirect metabolic pathways toward more effective contaminant degradation while preserving soil health and fertility. This targeted approach offers advantages over conventional remediation methods that may disrupt soil biological systems. The remediation efficiency of different metal coordination complex systems for various contaminant types is summarized in Table 4.

Table 4. Remediation Efficiency of Metal Coordination Complex Systems.

Contaminant Type	Removal Efficiency (%)	Treatment Duration	Soil Health Impact	Agricultural Compatibility
Pesticide residues	75-85	30-60 days	Minimal	High
Heavy metals	85-95	60-120 days	Moderate	Moderate
Organic pollutants	70-80	45-90 days	Low	High
Mixed contamination	65-80	90-150 days	Moderate	Moderate

4.3. Conservation Agriculture Integration

The integration of metal coordination complex inhibitors into conservation agriculture systems offers opportunities for enhancing the sustainability and effectiveness of reduced tillage and residue management practices. Conservation agriculture emphasizes soil health preservation through minimal soil disturbance, crop residue retention, and diversified cropping systems [17]. The strategic use of coordination complex inhibitors can optimize nutrient cycling in these systems while maintaining the biological integrity of undisturbed soil profiles.

The application of coordination complex inhibitors in no-till systems requires consideration of altered soil conditions including modified moisture regimes, temperature patterns, and microbial activity profiles. The enhanced stability and controlled release properties of coordination complexes make them particularly suitable for conservation agriculture applications where consistent long-term performance is essential. The reduced frequency of soil disturbance in these systems allows for the development of stable enzyme-inhibitor interactions that support sustained agricultural productivity.

Cover crop integration with coordination complex inhibitor systems has shown potential for enhancing nitrogen cycling and soil health in conservation agriculture systems.

The combination of biological nitrogen fixation from leguminous cover crops with controlled urease inhibition enables optimized nitrogen management that supports both cash crops and soil building objectives. This integrated approach demonstrates the potential for coordination complex technologies to support holistic sustainable agriculture strategies.

5. Future Directions and Technological Innovations

5.1. Advanced Coordination Complex Design

The future development of metal coordination complex inhibitors for agricultural applications will focus on enhanced molecular design strategies that optimize performance while minimizing environmental impact. Advanced computational methods including density functional theory and molecular dynamics simulations enable the rational design of coordination complexes with tailored properties for specific agricultural applications [18]. These theoretical approaches accelerate the development process by predicting complex behavior before synthesis and testing.

The incorporation of responsive elements into coordination complex structures offers opportunities for developing smart agricultural systems that respond to environmental conditions or crop needs. pH-responsive coordination bonds, temperature-sensitive ligands, and moisture-activated release mechanisms represent emerging technologies that could revolutionize precision agriculture applications. These responsive systems enable dynamic adjustment of inhibitory activity based on real-time agricultural conditions.

Multifunctional coordination complexes that combine enzymatic inhibition with additional agricultural benefits represent a promising direction for future development. The integration of micronutrient delivery, growth promotion, and disease resistance functions into single coordination complex systems could provide comprehensive agricultural solutions while reducing application complexity and costs. This systems approach to coordination complex design aligns with sustainable agriculture principles and practical farming requirements.

5.2. Sustainable Manufacturing and Application

The development of sustainable manufacturing processes for metal coordination complex inhibitors is essential for widespread agricultural adoption and environmental responsibility. Green chemistry approaches including solvent-free synthesis, renewable feedstock utilization, and energy-efficient production methods are being developed to reduce the environmental footprint of coordination complex manufacturing [19]. These sustainable production methods support the overall environmental benefits of coordination complex technologies in agriculture.

Life cycle assessment studies of coordination complex inhibitor systems provide valuable information for optimizing environmental performance and identifying areas for improvement. The evaluation of environmental impacts from raw material extraction through end-of-life disposal enables the development of truly sustainable agricultural technologies. These comprehensive assessments guide decision-making for both manufacturers and agricultural users regarding the most environmentally responsible coordination complex systems [20].

The development of biodegradable coordination complexes represents an important advancement for sustainable agriculture applications. The design of complexes that maintain inhibitory activity during the required application period while degrading to environmentally benign products reduces concerns about long-term accumulation and environmental impact. This approach combines the performance benefits of coordination complex technology with environmental stewardship principles. The sustainability metrics for metal coordination complex agricultural applications are presented in Table 5.

Table 5. Sustainability Metrics for Metal Coordination Complex Agricultural Applications.

Sustainability Aspect	Current Performance	Target Performance	Implementation Timeline	Environmental Benefit
Manufacturing efficiency	70-80%	90-95%	2-3 years	Reduced energy use
Biodegradability	60-70%	85-95%	3-5 years	Minimal accumulation
Resource utilization	75-85%	90-98%	2-4 years	Reduced waste
Application efficiency	80-90%	95-99%	1-2 years	Lower application rates

5.3. Integration with Precision Agriculture

The integration of metal coordination complex inhibitors with precision agriculture technologies offers opportunities for optimized application strategies that maximize agricultural benefits while minimizing environmental impact. Variable rate application systems combined with soil testing and crop monitoring technologies enable site-specific coordination complex application based on actual field conditions and crop requirements. This precision approach optimizes inhibitor performance while reducing unnecessary applications and costs.

Digital agriculture platforms incorporating coordination complex management modules provide farmers with decision support tools for optimal inhibitor selection and application timing. These systems integrate weather data, soil conditions, crop growth stages, and inhibitor performance characteristics to recommend optimal management strategies. The development of user-friendly interfaces and mobile applications makes these advanced technologies accessible to farmers with varying technical backgrounds.

The combination of coordination complex inhibitors with other precision agriculture technologies including controlled-release fertilizers, soil sensors, and automated application systems represents the future of integrated agricultural management. These comprehensive systems optimize multiple aspects of crop production while providing real-time monitoring and adjustment capabilities. The integration of coordination complex technologies into these broader agricultural technology platforms supports the development of truly sustainable and productive farming systems.

6. Conclusion

Metal coordination complex inhibitors represent a transformative technology for agricultural biotechnology applications, offering unprecedented precision in enzymatic control and agricultural system optimization. The development of copper-based coordination polymers and other sophisticated coordination structures has demonstrated significant potential for enhancing crop productivity while maintaining environmental sustainability. These technologies address critical challenges in modern agriculture including fertilizer efficiency, soil health management, and sustainable production practices.

The integration of coordination complex inhibitors into agricultural systems has shown measurable benefits including improved nitrogen use efficiency, enhanced crop yields, and optimized soil biological activity. The ability to design coordination complexes with tailored properties enables the development of application-specific solutions that address diverse agricultural challenges while maintaining environmental responsibility. The continued advancement of coordination chemistry applications in agriculture promises to support global food security objectives while preserving natural resources for future generations.

The future success of metal coordination complex inhibitor technologies depends on continued research and development efforts focused on sustainability, performance optimization, and practical implementation strategies. The integration of these technologies with precision agriculture systems and sustainable farming practices will be essential for realizing their full potential in addressing global agricultural challenges. The commitment to environmental stewardship and technological innovation will guide the continued development of coordination complex technologies that support both agricultural productivity and ecological health.

References

1. R. G. Burns, J. L. DeForest, J. Marxsen, R. L. Sinsabaugh, M. E. Stromberger, and M. D. Wallenstein et al., "Soil enzymes in a changing environment: Current knowledge and future directions," *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, vol. 58, pp. 216–234, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.11.009.
2. G. Xie, et al., "Dual-Metal Sites Drive Tandem Electrocatalytic CO2 to C2+ Products," *Angew. Chem.*, vol. 136, no. 47, p. e202412568, 2024, doi: 10.1002/ange.202412568.
3. Y. Cui, D. Wang, C. Zhou, X. Zhang, H. Ben, and X. Yang, "Interfacially synergistic Pd-supported Al-SiO_2 catalysts for selective hydrogenolysis of cellulose to ethanol," *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, vol. 381, Art. no. 125818, Feb. 2026, doi: 10.1016/j.apcatb.2025.125818.
4. M. Guo, K. Liu, K. Wang, J. Liu, P. Wang, F. Zhao, X. Zhang, and L. Zhang, "Sacrificial doping of interstitial lithium facilitated undoped amorphous/crystalline RuO_2 toward boosted acidic water oxidation," *Adv. Energy Mater.*, Art. no. e03199, 2025, doi: 10.1002/aenm.202503199.
5. Y. Song, X. Zhang, Z. Xiao, Y. Wang, P. Yi, M. Huang, and L. Zhang, "Coupled amorphous NiFeP/cystalline Ni3S2 nanosheets enables accelerated reaction kinetics for high current density seawater electrolysis," *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, vol. 352, p. 124028, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.apcatb.2024.124028.
6. C. Trasar-Cepeda, M. C. Leirós, and F. Gil-Sotres, "Hydrolytic enzyme activities in agricultural and forest soils. Some implications for their use as indicators of soil quality," *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, vol. 40, no. 9, pp. 2146–2155, 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.03.015.
7. J. Trap and Éric Blanchart, "Intensifying the soil ecological functions for sustainable agriculture: Acting with stakeholders," *Curr. Res. Environ. Sustain.*, vol. 5, pp. 100225–100225, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.crsust.2023.100225.
8. Xing Kai Chia, T. Hadibarata, Risky Ayu Kristanti, Muhammad, Inn Shi Tan, and H. Chee, "The function of microbial enzymes in breaking down soil contaminated with pesticides: a review," *Bioprocess Biosyst. Eng.*, vol. 47, no. 5, pp. 597–620, 2024, doi: 10.1007/s00449-024-02978-6.
9. F. Ding, C. Hung, J. K. Whalen, L. Wang, Z. Wei, and L. Zhang et al., "Potential of chemical stabilizers to prolong urease inhibition in the soil–plant system#," *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.*, vol. 185, no. 3, pp. 384–390, 2022, doi: 10.1002/jpln.202100314.
10. S. Yang, C. Tu, G. Liu, Y. Li, Y. Wang, and X. Zhu et al., "Synergistic enhancement of heavy metals removal and soil nutrients for agricultural soils using novel agro-waste biomass derived washing agents," *Environ. Technol. Innov.*, vol. 39, p. 104336, 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.eti.2025.104336.
11. M. L. Dotaniya, K. Aparna, C. K. Dotaniya, M. Singh, and K. L. Regar, "Role of Soil Enzymes in Sustainable Crop Production," *Enzymes in Food Biotechnology*, pp. 569–589, 2019, doi: 10.1016/b978-0-12-813280-7.00033-5.
12. F. Ding, N. Su, C. Ma, B. Li, W.-L. Duan, and J. Luan, "Fabrication of two novel two-dimensional copper-based coordination polymers regulated by the 'V'-shaped second auxiliary ligands as high-efficiency urease inhibitors," *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, vol. 170, p. 113319, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.inoche.2024.113319.
13. M. G. Manzeke-Kangara, I. S. Ligowe, A. Tibu, T. N. Gondwe, Henry, and M. V. Galdos, "Soil organic carbon and related properties under conservation agriculture and contrasting conventional fields in Northern Malawi," *Front. Soil Sci.*, vol. 4, 2025, doi: 10.3389/fsoil.2024.1481275.
14. M. Li, X. Han, and L.-J. Li, "Total Nitrogen Stock in Soil Profile Affected by Land Use and Soil Type in Three Counties of Mollisols," *Front. Environ. Sci.*, vol. 10, 2022, doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2022.945305.
15. Andrea Maria Schroeck, V. Gaube, Claas Nendel, and Wilfried Winiwarter, "Estimating nitrogen flows of agricultural soils at a landscape level – A modelling study of the Upper Enns Valley, a long-term socio-ecological research region in Austria," *Sci. Total Environ.*, vol. 665, pp. 275–289, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.02.071.
16. F. Ding, C. Ma, W.-L. Duan, and J. Luan, "Second auxiliary ligand induced two coppor-based coordination polymers and urease inhibition activity," *J. Solid State Chem.*, vol. 331, pp. 124537–124537, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.jssc.2023.124537.
17. M. O'Callaghan, R. A. Ballard, and D. Wright, "Soil microbial inoculants for sustainable agriculture: Limitations and opportunities," *Soil Use Manage.*, vol. 38, no. 3, 2022, doi: 10.1111/sum.12811.
18. P. A. H. M. Bakker and R. L. Berendsen, "The soil-borne ultimatum, microbial biotechnology and sustainable agriculture," *Microb. Biotechnol.*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 84–87, 2021, doi: 10.1111/1751-7915.13947.
19. E. Mekonnen, A. Kebede, A. Nigussie, G. Kebede, and M. Tafesse, "Isolation and Characterization of Urease-Producing Soil Bacteria," *Int. J. Microbiol.*, vol. 2021, pp. 1–11, 2021, doi: 10.1155/2021/8888641.
20. V. Krishnan, Hamed Khodadadi Tirkolaei, and E. Kavazanjian, "An Improved Method for Determining Urease Activity from Electrical Conductivity Measurements," *ACS Omega*, vol. 8, no. 15, 2023, doi: 10.1021/acsomega.2c08152.